

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,123	07/10/2003	Donald R. Miller	QCF. P0002	2993	
26360	7590 10/03/2005	EXAMINER			
•	KENNER, GREIVE, E	CHEN, JOSE V			
106 S. MAII		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AKRON, O	Н 44308	3637			

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/617,123	MILLER, DONALD R.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
		:	José V. Chen	3637				
Period fo		this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	5			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, Insions of time may be available us SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing period for reply is specified above to reply within the set or extended.	FROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		:			•			
1)[[]	Responsive to commu	: nication(s) filed on <u>10 Ju</u>	lv 2003					
•	This action is FINAL .	·	action is non-final.					
/		on is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
ت (۵	· •	•	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		113 13			
	ologod in docordance i	i .	x parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	<u>;</u> : :	:	•				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pe	nding in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/a	i ·		•				
	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7</u>		: :					
·	Claim(s) 3 and 8-16 is/	-						
<u> </u>		pject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
ا (۵	Claiili(3) ale sui	e de la restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		* •					
9) 🗆 .	The specification is obje	ected to by the Examiner	•					
	•	:		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)	The dath of declaration	is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-1:	52.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies	of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
			•	•				
	·		:					
Attachment	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Dr		Paper No(s)/Mail Da		•			
	nation Disclosure Statement(r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/10/03</u> .	s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
		·						

Application/Control Number: 10/617,123

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

Note the use of the expression "said".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bond ('485). The patent to Bond ('458) teaches structure as claimed including a pallet comprising a frame at least one rail (25) secured to the frame, the at least one rail having a rail slot (fig. 8), a pin mechanism (fig. 8) slidably received in the slot, the pin mechanism having a pallet pin that is moveable along the entire length of the slot.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,123

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bond ('485) in view of Hickey. The patent to Bond ('485) teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a pallet pin, the only difference being that the pin is not movable to a position that is flush with the frame. However, the patent to Hickey teaches the use of providing pallet pins that can be moved from perpendicular to flush with the frame to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Bond to include a movable pin, as taught by Hickey since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Art Unit: 3637

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bond ('485) in view of Hickey as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Bond ('033). The patent to Bond ('485) teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a frame, the only difference being that a plurality of feet extending downwardly from the frame is not include. However, the patent to Bond ('033) teaches the use of providing downwardly extending feet (fig. 5) to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Bond ('485) in view of Hickey to include downwardly extending feet, as taught by Bond ('033) since such structures are used in the same purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 8-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 17-18 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Weiss, Berrett, Harvey, Lam, Richard, Bae, Cully teach structure similar to applicant's.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,123

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jose V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 09-27-05